

Clerk of the Court  
Cardiff County Court  
Cardiff Wales

1<sup>st</sup> Action CF6141159-MC65  
2<sup>nd</sup> Action CF101741,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Action CF204141

24th April 2009

**Kirk v South Wales Police 5 Actions of Harassment  
Abuse of Process 25<sup>th</sup> November 2009 Application**

**Applications hearing 24<sup>th</sup> April 2009**

**Position Statement of the plaintiff**

1. The defendant's 26<sup>th</sup> Feb 2009 sworn affidavit is knowingly false.
2. The unprecedented conduct of the defendant calls for direct intervention by the HM Attorney General for Barbara Wilding and/or her agents' for committing the 'contempt of court', to be arrested.
3. A private person's arrest will be implemented, in the alternative.
4. I have now, at long last, disposed of much of my vulnerability to years of South Wales Police harassment by selling off assets, property and remaining personalty with my wife, only this week, having, by selling our business, managed to get out of the area.
5. In order to curtail police harassment in South Wales I now spend the least amount of time here as possible in this depraved environment, a living insult to the British Isles. I only return to this country in order to expedite my remaining civil actions but must suffer the stench of its law courts and thoroughly deceitful judicial system.
6. I have, until now, been quite unable to disclose to my own family or veterinary staff my full knowledge of police tactics for fear it would have destroyed what veterinary practice remained. Remember, the substantive period of South Wales Police harassment was between 1992 and 2002 ending on the day they managed to have **my name removed from the veterinary register**.
7. I have, until now, been unable to gather witness statements for fear of the obvious consequences of police intimidation and damage to the veterinary practice.

8. For more than two years my telephone was tapped from within the police station, a loudspeaker set up for all to hear, continuously, day and night.
9. At the same time there were long periods of 24 hour police surveillance on my property where I worked or slept.
10. The subject of motoring insurance dominated police time with officers set aside to investigate what, where and when I may have transgressed in the subject?
11. Police would harass insurance brokers with numerous phone calls and visits to their offices, time and time again to such a degree I was finally refused insurance cover, the object of the exercise, required to prevent my right to **practice veterinary surgery**.
12. Practice vehicles were particularly vulnerable to police harassment and for anyone caught driving them. Again, I could warn no one, not even my wife, for if any suggestion that I was under police surveillance meant my clients who visited the four surgeries would be frightened away.
13. Over a period of some eight years or so I was made to produce my driving documents 35 times attend court to win over 120 trumped up charges, smolder in smelly police cells but for one agenda, to curtail my income.
14. Over fifty Judicial Reviews in that ridiculous building called the Royal Courts of Justice had to be pursued, for even the most trivial of motoring convictions, for I knew these convictions would eventually, such was their determination, be used against me to prevent **my right to practice veterinary surgery**.
15. Clients, 'radio hams', regularly informed me that Barry police were heard discussing me and the practice vehicles, at night, parked outside the veterinary hospital. I had to play it down, every time. There could not even be a rumour in Barry or I was ruined and would have to move on yet again.
16. No motor vehicle, related to me or the veterinary practice, could be in my name unless I wanted trouble. After exhausting aviatrix names of yesteryear as registered owners I moved onto the more bizarre but the police could not let this be revealed before court.
17. Barbara Wilding's fabricated sworn affidavit, only obtained by years of battling against the enemy, for an **Abuse of Process Application** to be finally set in train, fortunately touches on the subject of police surveillance.

18. She has economical on disclosing evidence still under her control and with the 'memory loss' on any of the police incidents, more than two hundred, I have cited in the six Actions, one yet to be served.
19. The False affidavit highlights just what the South Wales Police wish never to reveal before a civilian jury. They can relax, the enemy have made damned sure none of this will finish up there.
20. She does not refer to police night surveillance and the routine photographing of practice vehicles parked in Tynewydd Road, over the many years, with careful inspection with relation to roadworthiness.
21. Para 14.1 of her fairy tale admits a string of serious incidents, police breaking into my surgery with a sledgehammer and crowbar, my being arrested and dragged before court, my arresting the court CPS lawyer, stolen vehicles never found, clearly instigated by the South Wales Police, all are, " **those incidents in this action where no documentation has been located on behalf of the defendant**", directly relate to police unlawful conduct whose witnesses will fail on cross examination.
22. Having experienced this tactic of shredding relevant evidence, including police, court and Crown Prosecution files, so many times before, elsewhere, I served all my eighty three leaver arch files of my contemporaneous record of police harassment on the defendant many years ago.
23. Those files are now my witness statement and exhibits in the trial and any others that come to light from the lawyer who died on me.
24. Referring back to the defendant's false affidavit pretending there is 'no documentation' my witness statement that has been under defence 'control' now for about four years discloses the Magistrate court cases, she denies ever happened, discloses correspondence of prosecuting police officers with their counterparts in the Channel Islands on a regular basis identifying my practice vehicles, she denies and photographs taken at night of those vehicles by a police photographer, she also denies was originally police property.
25. Only this week I have started to gather statements from members of the public who knew about the huge clandestine police surveillance exercise just for me, the enemy and Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons.
26. She goes on to lie about the incident at the Vale Show when a retired police officer, who features heavily in the police harassment case, struck me across the face in the full view of your scared community. Police went on to falsify charge sheets, switch and withhold, all contrary to law, as was so admirably summed up by the prosecuting barrister one of my hundred proposed witnesses for later.

27. There is sufficient here for the Court of Appeal to rule in my favour.
28. In the alternative, the management judge should order an **Abuse of Process** enquiry by the appropriate authority and order the defendant to disclose what I am entitled for, under the law and stop dilly dallying any longer with a bunch of bloody liars and an entourage of loose reigned lawyers laughing all the way to the bank.
29. With the defence failing to disclose routine police records, such as identifying relevant police issued incident (occurrence) numbers, 'officers in the case' and court record when asked to retain same, AT THE TIME, the plaintiff can only ask for 'leave of the court' to call witnesses, mainly hostile, consisting mainly of police, Crown Prosecution Service and Crown and Magistrate court staff to give evidence without previously served witness statements on themselves, the defence. Proper disclosure will require no trial.
30. The police 10 year clandestine surveillance of the plaintiff's veterinary practice, his staff and vehicles used was an **abuse of process** and requires immediate investigation by an independent authority for three reasons:
- A. Preservation of the remaining evidence still under the control of the police.
  - B. Obtain criminal prosecutions on those responsible to stop current conduct from continuing.
  - C. Establish as to just why police went to such lengths, all at the tax payer's and Plaintiff's expense?
31. The defence has an application to strike out all 3 Actions. This is resisted on the grounds civil law of Disclosure has been abused by the defendant and late disclosure, now indicated as possible, can happen and needs to be carried out by the defendant before the plaintiff can finalise his witness statements for trial.

To support the plaintiff's position statement in rebuttal to the Defendant's application, to strike out the Actions, he relies on letters to his Member of Parliament during April 2009 and application to the court:

Clerk to the Court,	<b>1<sup>st</sup> Action</b>	<b>CF6141159-MC65</b>
Cardiff County Court	<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Action</b>	<b>CF101741,</b>
Cardiff Wales	<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Action</b>	<b>CF204141</b>
	<b>4<sup>th</sup> Action</b>	<b>7CF07345,</b>
	<b>5<sup>th</sup> Action</b>	<b>8CF02269</b>

8<sup>th</sup> April 2009

**Kirk v South Wales Police 5 Actions of Harassment**  
**Abuse of Process 25<sup>th</sup> November 2009 Application**

Dear Sir,

**Barbara Wilding False 25<sup>th</sup> Feb 2009 Sworn Affidavit**

As per CPR Rule Part 31.23 I apply to the HM Attorney General and this County Court for the arrest of the Chief Constable of South Wales Police for the indictable offence of Contempt of Court. If neither instruct or orders someone to do it, I will do it myself without further notice.

Contrary to 25<sup>th</sup> November 2008 'Abuse of Process' Hearing Court Order, admitted in Para 3 of affidavit, there is no evidence of further disclosure having been made. The 3<sup>rd</sup> Action, CF204141, she states as not worthy of the Court Order. There is little or no indication as to what actual search has been carried out for Actions 1 and 2 when sufficient information was been served on the Defence to counter this predicted argument, it being always the practice of HM police, HM courts and HM Crown Prosecution to conspire and shred 'evidence' if there is the remotest chance lawful disclosure could affect their little gravy train, pension and world of immunity to prosecution due to 'Her Majesty's Prerogative'.

Over the past 16 years I have now submitted well over 100 lever arch files full of relevant evidence of the South Wales Police conspiracy to 'Pervert the Course of Justice' **to get me struck off the veterinary register** which could only be achieved with the cooperation of the HM Crown Prosecution Service and HM Court Service. (See leaked HM attorney general internal memos served on the management judge).

Information in her 26<sup>th</sup> February 2009 Sworn Affidavit was knowingly false.

From the outset of legal proceedings in 1973 my lawyers, upon my specific instructions, reminded the Defendant to retain all relevant evidence of incidents, later, well exceeding one hundred and twenty

trumped up charges brought against me, only to be withdrawn, despite imprisonment, lost on appeal or stopped, part heard, to protect the consequences of further cross examination of bent police officers.

Routine court applications and written requests were made to CPS, courts and the Defendant to retain evidence, within hours of incidents, my having previous experience of malfeasance.

## **1. Para 18 1<sup>st</sup> Action 8.23. Failed Prosecution of Driving without Insurance**

Information denied now to be either known about or in existence by police, relating to this motoring incident, was applied for in my letter faxed and posted to the defendant on 16<sup>th</sup> January 1996 and refused in the police reply of 24<sup>th</sup> January 1996.

She is lying to state the police, CPS nor court has any record of this incident of nefarious conduct.

I was made to attend court on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Nov 1995, 11<sup>th</sup> Dec 1995, 5<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> Jan1996. A series of police surveillance photographs of the relevant vehicle and my many other almost monthly changed vehicles, due to police harassment, were given to the CPS, presented in court, each referring to a police reference number, one being 33139/A, purportedly taken by the officer previously named and applied for in my countless but futile disclosure applications dating back to the incident. I will show the judge the police documents once I am in a competent court bound by statute law.

On 4<sup>th</sup> March 1996 the case was dismissed and I was awarded costs, my bus fare to the court room and I am still waiting. Even an article in the newspapers referred to the case, just to do my veterinary hospital damage and was, no doubt, arranged by police but published too early, before it was dismissed, part heard, only to protect the 5+ police officers in their conspiracy.

Other HORT documents relating to this incident were presented at Barry Police Station on both the 23<sup>rd</sup> Aug and 22<sup>nd</sup> September 1995. I need copies for the trial and IPCC.

My veterinary ambulance was clearly arranged to be stolen by the police, police references EA/95/9041 and EA/95/1260 refer, again the defendant claiming 'no knowledge' of the incidents when, for example, again written for in my 4<sup>th</sup> October 2008, ignored by Barry police station, for explanation and progress of 41 of some 100 occurrence numbers most relevant to this false affidavit and 5 Actions for damages.

## **Application for Specific Disclosure as per CPR Part 31.12**

I require, in order that I can take witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

Inspector Webster, Chief Inspector Brian Greaves, Superintendent Colin Francis, Kevin Fairman (driver), PC 566 Wilson, Senior CPS Prosecutor NG James, EB Brignall, Special Constable 7781 O'Brian, Special Constable 778 Martin and PC Swerson.

## **2. Para 23 2<sup>nd</sup> Action 5.1. Failed Prosecution of Speeding Allegation**

The defendant is again lying that neither she nor her staff are aware or have any record of the incident.

I was summoned and attended court on both 27th April and 1st June 1997. Charges against me were again withdrawn, part heard, without good reason, only to protect the police officer under cross examination, my being refused costs, my bus fares or a copy of the clerk of the court's notes. I arrested the CPS prosecutor, Mr Stoffa, by the scruff of the neck and never let him go until a van load of police were heard, sirens blasting and had been assured by the police sergeant he would secure the evidence. Evidence was the court record, police and Crown Prosecution Service records. In particular the CPS file clutched in Mr Stoffa's sweaty little hands containing a clear photograph of the driver, not me.

The media, present, were told not to publish anything about it as with my 120 charges lost by the police.

My 1st June 1997 letters to Barry Magistrates Court, **John Smith MP** and Divisional Commander of the Barry police and 21<sup>st</sup> July 1998 letter to the nonsense 'Office for the Supervision of Solicitors' clearly laid a complaint of 'Conspiracy to Pervert the Course of Justice'. The defendant's actions since, to deliberately avoid investigation by having destroyed the records of the incident, despite already being in civil court for the 1st Action, was a clear act of Contempt of Court.

Inspector 1581 Rice, who attended with the 'van load' of police, is also lying in stating that he has 'no recollection' of the incident.

## **Application for Specific Disclosure as per CPR Part 31**

I require, in order for taking witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

Divisional Commander Colin Jones, Chief Inspector James H Moore, Inspector Rice, PC 1532 Keith Lovell, officer in charge, Central ticket office, Treforest, purported creator of police letter, 25<sup>th</sup> March 1998 ref KFP/JR/JF/C036955X, PC 3719 Killick, R A Prickett CPS, Mr Stoffa CPS & Ms J A Caress, Clerk of the Court.

### **3. 2<sup>nd</sup> Action 2.1 Prevention of Terrorism Act 1989**

#### **Application for Specific Disclosure as per CPR Part 31**

I require, in order for taking witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy, my being accused of smuggling pigs in from Eire and avoiding immigration out.

Special Branch officer, New Scotland Yard, with my complaint of perjury by police officer Murphy and others referred to in letters to the Royal Ulster Constabulary, 5<sup>th</sup> May 1997, Scotland Yard 13<sup>th</sup> May and 26<sup>th</sup> June 1997 and I need the senior prosecuting CPS lawyer from London and identity of Stipendiary.

### **4. 1<sup>st</sup> Action 8.6 Police unable to identify Maurice Kirk**

I require, in order for taking witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

Inspector Trigg, Duty Sergeant, police officers 'ordered' from Barry to 'confirm' my identification, the 'duty' solicitor, all police staff who handled my custody tape that mysteriously then vanished and names and lodge numbers of magistrates sitting 'convinced' I should remain in prison until 'identified'.

### **5. Para 19. 1<sup>st</sup> Action 8.26 Police break into my veterinary surgery**

I require, in order for the taking of witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

Inspector Jenner, my past veterinary client, living in St Athan, his daughter, Alison Jenner and Anthony Gafael, drug dealer, tenant over my surgery and well known to the defendant and Cardiff City Council.



## **6. Para 16. 1<sup>st</sup> Action 8.20 Assaults, Actual bodily Harm, Arson Incidents**

She is again lying to say the police have 'no knowledge' or full record of the 6 or more occasions Police were called to attend fires to my property, to interview and/or arrest Paul Stringer re ABH, previous arson and criminal damage on both me and my buildings and refusal to investigate when faulty fire extinguishers indicated fraud. Stringer appeared in Barry court, at least once, when the police 'offered no evidence', despite my staff, my wife, myself and other eye witnesses being asked to attend, I being not the only complainant each time but had been conveyed to hospital, on one occasion, by ambulance when he attacked me in full view of the police. Barbara Wilding is lying through her back teeth to say 'proportionate discovery of records' has been occasioned on serious incidents relating to thousands of pounds worth of criminal damage and repeated use of public recourses, ambulance, fire engines etc.

### **Application for Specific Disclosure as CPR Part 31**

I require, in order for taking witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

EB Brignell, Police occurrence number EA/95/4861, PC 973 Johan? Johnson, Paul Stringer and his brother, Inspector 913 Griffiths, Walter Sweeny (MP) and his 31<sup>st</sup> August 1995 letter.

## **7. Para 15. 1<sup>st</sup> Action 8.14 Cardiff Motoring Incident**

Denial she has record of this motoring incident is yet another lie. I was stopped with my wife and issued with a HORT 1 and produced it with valid motoring documents at Barry police station within seven days

### **Application for Specific Disclosure as CPR Part 31**

I require, in order for taking witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

A police record for the time period with my name on (Data Protection Act, Freedom of Information Act, Human Rights Act, CPR rules etc) as, for example, was asked for by my lawyers as far back as 1995 and we are still waiting.

## **8. Para 20. 1<sup>st</sup> Action 8.13 Stolen BMW motor cycle**

I require, for taking witness statements, their full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

A police record for the time period with my name on (Data Protection Act, Freedom of Information Act, Human Rights Act, CPR rules etc) as, for example, was asked for by my lawyers as far back as 1995 and we are still waiting. I need Mr Clode, garage proprietor instructed by police to retrieve my stolen bike.

## **9. Para 27-29. 2<sup>nd</sup> Action 10.1 Rectification certificate issued, -ve breath test**

### **Application for Specific Disclosure as CPR Part 31**

I require, for taking witness statements, their full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

A police record for the time period with my name on (Data Protection Act, Freedom of Information Act, Human Rights Act, CPR rules etc) as, for example, rectification document admitted by Wilding was asked for as far back as 1995 and we are still waiting.

## **10 Para 30-32 The 3<sup>rd</sup> Action**

The Defendant, displays further 'Abuse of Process' and 'Contempt of Court' by withholding further information **under her control** as opposed to be in '**police possession**', weasel words, her excuse of incidents being of 'antiquity' . Other information she has will be reminded about in a public court.

The incidents, she admits, occurred between 1998 and 2003. Inspector Griffiths was 'commissioned' to gather the police information in 2000. His Sworn Affidavit, upon which she relies, is also false.

### **Application for Specific Disclosure as CPR Part 31**

I require, in order for taking witness statements, the full names, addresses and a court order to disclose their contemporaneous record and identity of others in the conspiracy:

Inspector Griffiths, Inspector 2947 Colliandris and all records, with my name on, including to and from the now called **Independent Police Complaints Commission** and **South Wales Police Authority**, relating to each communication after EACH incident in all 3 Actions, **for police records to be retained**, as legal redress was already in train. (Examine, please, the 6 months series of damning 1993/94 letters from prosecution lawyers and myself to South Wales Police internal and external lawyers, also in defendant's possession).

Name and address of notary public who purportedly witnessed the defendant's overdue false Affidavit.

Signed:

**Maurice J Kirk BVSc**

**Copy to John Smith MP, Vince Cable MP, David Cameron MP, Martyn Jones MP, Vice Chairman, Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons, Battle Bus Committee [www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com) & [www.wacl.org.uk](http://www.wacl.org.uk)**

John Smith MP, Labour Member for the Vale of Glamorgan, South Wales, UK.

House of Commons

Westminster

22<sup>nd</sup> April 2009

Your Ref k/2002

## **ABUSE of PROCESS**

**South Wales Police, Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons & Welsh Assembly**

Dear Mr Smith,

Further to our constructive meeting yesterday I feel my complaints be narrowed, for the time being, to:

1. HM Attorney General's seven year investigation into having me certified as a vexatious litigant.
2. South Wales Police destruction of police records/revealing erroneous police records, contrary to Home Office Regulations, 45/1987 to the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons and others.
3. Direct interference by MPs and AMs to have my name removed from the veterinary register using erroneous information. (My 6<sup>th</sup> March 2009 to you as a sample of some of their conduct)

### **South Wales Police deliberate destruction of police records**

Now, Mr Smith, I wrote on 4<sup>th</sup> October 2008 to the police for disclosure of 30 odd random, out of a hundred or so, police 'occurrence numbers' all relating to me suffering burglaries, assaults, thefts, arson and fraud, some relating to my current ongoing civil action for damages, the police having lost well over one hundred charges against me. Barry Police appear to ignore my request and now Police HQ are also being evasive. **I ask you to act, now.**

You may remember I told you, on receiving the Chief of Police 26<sup>th</sup> Feb 2009 'sworn affidavit', that she said there had been **full disclosure on these matters.**

She now is trying to block any '**specific disclosure**' in my letters either to the Barry police station or to her HQ in Bridgend.

She was not 'mistaken', as the Crown Prosecution Service quaintly always say, she was damned well lying and I will briefly remind you why:

My 13<sup>th</sup> Feb 2009 letter to you.... Extract:

**Complaint One:** On 30th March 2009 I am interviewed at Bridgend Police station re my complaint of the 26th Feb 2009 False Affidavit full of the easily proved written lies by Barbara Wilding.....well I thought I could!

Dream on Maurice, the Detective Inspector Mark Williams refused to take the complaint. But I could make a complaint to the South Wales Police Authority, based in Bridgend Headquarters, just down the road! But I had tried all that more than once, what a classic example of their usual 'treacle treatment'.

**Complaint Two:** Experienced in these matters of police tactics I sprung a new complaint about his inferiors. This time he could not refuse. Conduct of Barry Police Station he could not ignore having just explained the current 'ground rules' on what complaint could be taken.

Barry Police Station had refused to give any information on my 39 incidents listed in my 4th 'Oct 2008 letter each with a police 'occurrence number' allocated. He took my statement of complaint for the paper shredder so I will not be holding my breath for a substantive reply.

It is now 23<sup>rd</sup> April and no reply.

**Complaint Three:** Well let's make another complaint,, I thought, if not just for a bit of fun, to show people around the world just how thoroughly deceitful South Wales Police tactics really are. I told him how, having complained of his boss delaying writing down her lies by a month, contrary to Court Order, lying through her back teeth, instead, signed her 26th Feb 2008 sworn Affidavit, it appeared just minutes from my leaving her solicitor's office! Within the hour I am stopped by police and made to produce driving documents including an MOT for a foreign car! I am stopped by police a total of 4 times before I even get out of the built up area.

So I find the nearest police station, Penarth, in order to lodge a complaint....what a joke. My property in Cardiff had recently been burgled and vandalised so I asked for the progress on the incident .She promised 'The Earth' but could not, apparently, even find any record of the incident number or proof the complaint had been lodged....proving the police continue to refuse to investigate crimes against me.

This 3rd complaint was supported by my 26th Feb 2009 complaint letter to you, Mr Smith, written on the very same day as the false affidavit and visit to Penarth Police Station.

NOW, TODAY, 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2009

**Complaint Four:** I asked again, yesterday, 21<sup>st</sup> April 2009, for the progress on one of the incidents referring to the occurrence numbers sent to Barry police station only to be told to ring HQ at Bridgend at the Data Protection Office.

I was assured that even occurrence numbered incidents of 1993 would **not** have been thrown away, "if they were more than a telephone call at the time". This was encouraging news until he said I would only obtain the information by filling in the Data Protection Act Application.

But he was unaware I had already tried all this nonsense 'treacle treatment' many years ago, having duly paid the £10 fee. He appeared puzzled but he would not have known that each time I had asked for **disclosure** (from 1993 to now, on arrest, in custody, in Magistrate's court, Crown Court, by secretary letter etc, etc) if any fact may have revealed the 10 years of police harassment and police surveillance I have suffered and on my vehicles, practice or staff, that could be used against them in court NOW then evidence was to be shredded, 'altered' or Texan style, when I last year tested the US Judiciary in Austin, Texas ,Psychiatric Hospital court, 'air brushed out'!

Just four examples from well over a hundred reported incidents to the police:

## 1. Action1. Para 8.18/20/21

Only this week my then secretary confirmed she was asked to telephone the police on at least 4 separate occasions when I was assaulted, threatened with assault or suffered criminal damage to my house, including arson, by a Mr Stringer.

### **Barbara Wilding swears the police have no record or recollection**

## **2. Action 1. 8/23**

This week I traced my then 1993 employee/driver who told me he had been stopped more than once after being followed for a long way through Barry whilst driving my Guernsey registered veterinary ambulance.

Each time the police gave **no reason** for stopping him but, each time, made him produce driving documents at Barry police station.

I was also detained by the police, at around the same time, with the same vehicle and also made to produce driving documents for **no reason** other than harassment to provoke, with the hope of yet another 'assault on police' charge.

### **Barbara Wilding swears the police have no record or recollection**

She has, **I have seen them**, considerable records of all these incidents, during the relevant period of harassment requiring almost day to day contact with the Guernsey police <http://kirkflyingvet.com/blogs/guernsey/default.aspx> frantically finding any evidence, as the Guernsey police had achieved, to cause their insurance company representatives on that miserable island to refuse me motoring cover thereby depriving my right to practice veterinary surgery. She has those records, I can prove it,

The motoring 'incident' led to a court case, the stipendiary magistrate very quickly realising it had been 'cooked' and was to be stopped, part heard, despite my complaints for it to continue.

I have traced the 'officer in the case'.

**Barbara Wilding swears the police have no record or recollection of the court case or incident despite my identifying to her police involved, police exhibit photographs of the vehicle taken outside my surgery and Guernsey Authority letters to and from the Barry police on this incident over a period of many months.**

## **3. Action1. 8.5 Police Surveillance**

This week I traced a witness who reminded me that I had visited him in his flat in 1993 to warn him, my having been told by several radio hams in Barry, clients of the practice, that the police had been out again, the night before, inspecting and photographing all practice vehicles parked outside my veterinary surgery.

The 'radio ham' even mentioned to me this witness, I had visited earlier, had a driving conviction of 'drink drive' , heard over the police radio, which I later established to be correct.

I warned the witness his name was now linked to mine, on the police walkie talkies, as he had been seen driving one of the practice vehicles.

It was also at about this time I had been arrested for stealing my Guernsey registered 1000cc BMW motorcycle bought from a policeman and put in Cardiff prison as the South Wales Police had persuaded the Cardiff court I could not be 'identified' and should be locked up until I was!

#### **4. Action 1. 8.13**

This week I traced a witness who was called out, in 1993, to recover my stolen crashed BMW motorcycle, on behalf of the Barry police, just hours before I reported it stolen. It was issued with an occurrence number (incident number) and identified as mine.

Nearly two months later I was tipped off by a client of its whereabouts but not by Barry police station or by any other official channel.

I have traced the 'officer in the case' and his dealing with the bike's recovery.

#### **Barbara Wilding swears the police have no record or recollection of the incident.**

I am refused disclosure of the whereabouts of over one hundred witnesses , mainly police, Crown Prosecution Service and court entourage despite appearing to be on the defendant's beck and call' whenever I raise an issue on evidence. They are never available as my own prosecution witnesses.

Even today, Mr Smith, your South Wales Police released to me a Barry Magistrates purported 'court record', dated the 6<sup>th</sup> February 2009, for a 24<sup>th</sup> May 1993 document . stating I was banned for driving for 6 months in my absence, with 15 points and 3 more ,for luck, on my licence, for no MOT on a foreign registered car!

My then Bristol lawyer spent over 6 months in correspondence with The South Wales Police at about this time for the release of all prosecution evidence, before it was destroyed and specifically asking for the computer records upon which the police relied to arrest and jail me four times, in 1993 for 'having no driving licence' when they knew, full well I did.

Enid Blyton could not match this, if it was not so wicked.

It stinks, doesn't it, Mr Smith, but then, there is nothing new under the sun.

### **Abuse of Process**

It is my view that an **independent enquiry** should interview all I name as witnesses and not for it necessarily to be done by myself. Then we will have an account that cannot be swept under the carpet. Our current, Masonic, corrupt riddled judicial system, designed for but one purpose, the lining of their pockets of the tax payers money, needs immediate reform and is a politician's responsibility.

Police harassment has ruined my family's life and it is your responsibility to demand answers

Yours sincerely,

Maurice J Kirk BvSc

PS

Bridgett Prentice MP 25<sup>th</sup> March letter to you, referring to me, on behalf of the Ministry of Justice, is not just insulting it is a deliberate act to protect the scandal surrounding a team of fellow lawyers in some dingy back alley of Whitehall, all frantic to gain Brownie points if one of them can get me certified as a 'vexatious litigant' and block both police and Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons disclosure of public records.

Copy to Vince Cable MP, David McLean MP

John Smith MP, Labour Member for the Vale of Glamorgan, South Wales, UK.

House of Commons

Westminster

23<sup>rd</sup> April 2009

Your Ref k/2002



## **ABUSE of PROCESS**

### **South Wales Police, Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons & Welsh Assembly**

Dear Mr Smith,

Further to our constructive meeting on 21st I feel my complaints be narrowed, for the time being, to:

4. HM Attorney General's seven year investigation into having me certified as a vexatious litigant.
5. South Wales Police destruction of police records/revealing erroneous police records, contrary to Home Office Regulations, 45/1987 to the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons and others.
6. Direct interference by MPs and AMs to have my name removed from the veterinary register using erroneous information. (My 6<sup>th</sup> March 2009 to you as a sample of some of their conduct)

### **Ministry of Justice and MP Malfeasance**

#### **HM Attorney General's seven year investigation re having me certified as a vexatious litigant**

Bridgett Prentice MP 25<sup>th</sup> March letter to you, referring to me, on behalf of the Ministry of Justice, is not just insulting it is a deliberate act to protect the scandal surrounding a team of lawyers in some dingy back alley of Whitehall, all frantic to gain Brownie points if one of them can get me certified as a 'vexatious litigant' and block both South Wales Police and Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons disclosure of public records.

Contrary to your indication it is your responsibility to ask why the following is going on?

- a. 7 years of tax payer's expense for what? What is the sinister reason behind all this?
- b. RCVS failed disclosure of evidence from my very own Barry clients on your patch, why?
- c. I left you on Tuesday to visit the Judicial Committee Offices of the Privy Council, Downing Street....oh, what a joke. None appeared to know to whom I complain for the Clerk of the Privy Council refusing to put my Humble Petition, about one member of RCVS refusing my application to practice, even going before their court or to go before the Privy Council while their Registrar simply refuses it in the recorded post!
- d. The 1966 Veterinary Surgeons Act clearly indicates, backed by your colleague, Martyn Jones MP in his 19<sup>th</sup> March 2009 letter, below, that the Privy Council have the power to intervene if abuse is occasioned by the current lot in the college, all enjoying immunity to criminal prosecution due to 1844 /1966 Royal Charters.

The PC cannot not if they do not know about it, can they, Mr Smith?

### **Statutory Instrument 2004 No. 1680**

## **The Veterinary Surgeons and Veterinary Practitioners (Disciplinary Committee) (Procedure and Evidence) Rules Order of Council 2004**

### EXTRACT

**20.2** The applicant may submit with his application any documentary evidence which he wishes to have drawn to the attention of the Committee in support of his application, including references.

**20.3** On receipt of an application to which this Rule applies, the application shall be listed for hearing within 3 months.

**Mr David McClean MP just happened to be the other Member of Parliament lay member of the RCVS jury due to sit in a few weeks.**

**David McClean MP's warning to the RCVS was that my case, "needed to be 'cast iron' or he would immediately seek a Judicial Review", "I decided to look at his website and I must admit it is Bizarre". The Court of Appeal has sat on it for nearly a year!**

[Internal RCVS mail information on MP/AM pressure obtained under the Data Protection Act]

19<sup>th</sup> March 2009

Dear Mr Kirk,

As a member of the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons, and more importantly a member of the Disciplinary Committee, I cannot possibly get involved in any correspondence relating to any past or future involvement you may have with the Disciplinary Committee.

Any actions of the Disciplinary Committee are subject to appeal by the Privy Council and therefore this would be your resort if you have any concerns over the administration of discipline by the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons.

Yours sincerely, Martyn Jones MP

Martyn Jones MP

House of Commons

London

7<sup>th</sup> April 2009

**Malfeasance and Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons**

Dear Sir,

Thankyou for your 19<sup>th</sup> March 2009 indication that all I need do is to apply to the Privy Council.

Both the Registrar to the Judicial Committee and Chief Clerk to the Privy Council have refused to lodge any of my applications before the Privy Council contrary to statute law.

Similarly, the chairman to the RCVS disciplinary committee, sitting alone, refuses my application to go before the RCVS court, again contrary to the 1966 Veterinary Surgeons Act and 2004 rules.

I wish to visit your constituency with the battle bus to see if you will take me seriously but in the first instance, seek an appointment with you at the House of Commons to serve the evidence.

Yours faithfully,

Maurice J Kirk BVSc

Copy to John Smith MP, Registrar to the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons & [www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com)

Mr Smith, one member of the RCVS has just again refused to put my application to practice before the court. These are matters for a criminal court not our civil, money grubbing nonsense.

Just what are you prepared to do about the conduct of Bridget Prentice MP and her 'Ministry of Justice', David McClean MP, Martyn Jones MP and Ms Randerson AM before many of us take the law into our own hands here in the Vale of Glamorgan?

Yours sincerely,

Maurice J Kirk BVSc

Copy to [www.kirkflyingvet.com](http://www.kirkflyingvet.com)

**NONE OF THIS CONTENT CLASHES WITH CURRENT JUDICIAL PROCESS**

This, my hurriedly prepared and not finished Position Statement, is true to the best of my belief

Signed

Dated 24<sup>th</sup> April 2009

